United States District Court

for

Middle District of Tennessee 2nd Supplemental Petition for Warrant or Summons for Offender Under Supervision [Supersedes Petition Filed as Docket Entry No. 367]

| Name of Offender: Allison Stegall | Case Number: 3:03-00027-01 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name of Sentencing Judicial Officer: Honorable Aleta A. Trauger, U.S. District Judge | |
| Date of Original Sentence: November 18, 2004 | |
| Original Offense: 18 U.S.C. § 1201, Kidnaping for Purpose of Assault and 18 U.S.C.§ 2, Aiding and | |
| Abetting. | |
| Original Sentence: 168 months' custody, followed by 5 years' supervised release. | |
| Type of Supervision: <u>Supervised Release</u> Date | e Supervision Commenced: <u>June 17, 2011</u> |
| Assistant U.S. Attorney: <u>Brent Hannafan</u> Def | ense Attorney: Caryll Alpert |
| ☐ To issue a Warrant. ☐ To Consider Additional Violation / Information. THE COURT ORDERS: | |
| □ No Action □ The Issuance of a Warrant: □ Sealed Pending Warrant Execution (cc: U.S. Probation and U.S. Marshal only) □ The Issuance of a Summons. □ Other Cours det additional Violating a 16/3/13 Leaving day of the records in the above case. Honorable Aleta A. Trauger | I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Respectfully submitted, Lisa Capps Sr. U.S. Probation Officer Place Columbia, TN |
| U. S. District Judge | Date September 19, 2013 |

ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

The information provided in the previous petition, filed as docket entry No. 367, has been amended as follows:

Violation No. 5 has been added detailing the offender's positive drug screen on August 26, 2013.

The probation officer believes that the offender has violated the following condition(s) of supervision:

Violation No. Nature of Noncompliance

1. The defendant shall participate in a program of drug testing at the direction of the Probation Officer:

Mr. Stegall failed to report for random urine screens at the U.S. Probation Office, Nashville, Tennessee, on January 17, 2013; February 26, 2013; April 3, 2013; and April 8, 2013.

2. The defendant shall participate in a program of inpatient or outpatient substance abuse counseling at the direction of the Probation Officer:

Mr. Stegall has not attended substance abuse counseling at Centerstone, Madison, Tennessee, since January 4, 2013, and has been terminated for non-compliance.

The defendant shall pay restitution to the victim identified in the presentence report in an amount totaling \$1,000,300.40. Payments shall be submitted to the United States District Court Clerk's Office, Eighth Floor, 801 Broadway, Nashville, TN, 37203. Restitution is due immediately. If the defendant is incarcerated, payment shall begin under the Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program. Should there be an unpaid balance when supervision commences, the defendant shall pay the remaining restitution at a minimum monthly rate of 10 percent of the defendant's gross monthly income. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3664 (k), the defendant shall notify the Court and United States Attorney of any material change in economic circumstances that might affect ability to pay:

Mr. Stegall failed to make restitution payments for the months of July 2012, September 2012, October 2012, November 2012, February 2013, and March 2013.

4. The defendant shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance:

On June 7, 2013, Mr. Stegall submitted a urine screen that tested positive for cocaine. Mr. Stegall denied any use of cocaine.

5. The defendant shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any controlled substance:

Mr. Stegall submitted a urine screen on August 26, 2013, that tested positive for cocaine. When questioned about the positive, Mr. Stegall denied any use of the substance. Mr. Stegall advised this officer that he has returned to Centerstone for substance abuse counseling.

Compliance with Supervision Conditions and Prior Interventions:

On January 31, 2012, a 12A petition was submitted notifying the Court of Mr. Stegall's positive urine screen for benzodiazepines on December 23, 2011. It was recommended no action be taken at that time. Said petition was signed by Your Honor on February 3, 2012.

On July 5, 2012, a second 12A petition was submitted notifying the Court of the offender's failure to pay restitution and a positive urine screen for cocaine. It was recommended no action be taken at that time. Said petition was signed by Your Honor on July 23, 2012.

Allison Stegall is a resident of Davidson County, Tennessee, and has been under the federal supervision of the U.S. Probation Office since June 17, 2011. He is not employed, but receives Supplemental Security Income (SSI) in the amount of \$543.00 per month. He lives with his daughter in Nashville, Tennessee. The probation officer completes frequent surprise home visits at the offender's residence. Mr. Stegall had been participating in monthly individual counseling for both substance abuse and mental health issues at Centerstone, Madison, Tennessee; however, due to his failure to attend counseling since January 2013, he was terminated for non-compliance, effective May 2, 2013. Mr. Stegall mistakenly believed he had completed counseling, as evidenced in a telephone call with this officer on February 8, 2013. However, after talking with his counselor, it was determined he had not completed counseling, and this officer advised Mr. Stegall of that and directed him to make an appointment with Centerstone.

Mr. Stegall began receiving Social Security Disability income due to his medical condition in November 2011. He has been re-instructed that he is required to pay 10% of his disability income towards restitution every month. Mr. Stegall was also re-instructed as to the conditions of his release, specifically regarding drug testing and not missing random urine screens.

U.S. Probation Officer Recommendation:

It is respectfully requested that the additional violation be considered at the revocation hearing which is scheduled for October 3, 2013. The U.S. Attorney's Office is agreeable with this recommendation.

Approved:

Burton Putman

Supervisory U.S. Probation Officer

SENTENCING RECOMMENDATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE UNITED STATES V. ALLISON STEGALL, CASE NO. 3:03-00027-01

GRADE OF VIOLATION:

 \mathbf{C}

CRIMINAL HISTORY:

VI

ORIGINAL OFFENSE DATE:

PRE APRIL 30, 2003

VCCA PROVISIONS

Statutory

Guideline

Recommended

Provisions

Provisions

Sentence

CUSTODY:

5 years (Class A Felony)

8-14 months

none

18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3)

U.S.S.G. § 7B1.4(a)

SUPERVISED

5 years less any

2-5 years less any

RELEASE:

term of imprisonment

term of imprisonment

none

18 U.S.C. § 3583(h)

U.S.S.G.§ 5D1.2(a)(1)

Statutory Provisions: Revocation is mandatory if the Court finds the defendant possessed a controlled substance in violation of the condition set forth in subsection(d). 18 U.S.C. § 3583(g)(1). Revocation is also mandatory if the defendant refuses to comply with drug testing imposed as a condition of supervised release., 18 U.S.C. § 3583(g)(3). The Court shall consider whether the availability of appropriate substance abuse treatment programs, or an individual's current or past participation in such programs, warrants an exception in accordance with United States Sentencing Commission guidelines from the rule of section 3583(g) when considering any action against a defendant who fails a drug test. 18 U.S.C. § 3583(d).

When a term of supervised release is revoked and the defendant is required to serve a term of imprisonment, the court may include a requirement that the defendant be placed on a term of supervised release after imprisonment. The length of such a term of supervised release shall not exceed the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the original term of supervised release, less any term of imprisonment that was imposed upon revocation of supervised release, 18 U.S.C. § 3583(h). Upon revocation of supervised release, the defendant faces imprisonment of up to five years, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3583(e)(3).

Guideline Policy Statements: The guidelines set out in Chapter 7 of the United States Sentencing Guideline Manual are advisory. The violations listed above are Grade C violations. Upon a finding of a Grade C violation, the Court may (A) revoke supervised release; or (B) extend the term of supervised release and/or modify the conditions of supervision. U.S.S.G. § 7B1.3(a)(2).

Respectfully Submitte

Lisa A. Capps

Sr. U.S. Probation Officer

Approved:

Burton Putman

Supervising U.S. Probation Officer